

REMARKS

Reconsideration and allowance of the subject application are respectfully solicited.

Claims 48 and 50, both independent, are pending. Claims 42 through 47 and 49 have been cancelled without prejudice. Claims 48 and 50 have been amended. The Examiner's attention is directed, e.g., to Embodiments 1 and 3, respectively; of course, the claims are not limited to the disclosed embodiments.

Claims 42 through 50 were variously rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over US 6,337,719 B1 (Cuccia), US 2001/0035917 A1 (Satake, et al.), and US 6,931,657 B1 (Marsh), with the latter document being newly-cited, and further in view of portions of Applicant's own specification. All rejections are respectfully traversed.

Claim 48 recites, *inter alia*, responsive to the receiving by the operation unit of the operation of turning off the power source, to stop the displaying of the streaming content, and to access the URL linked to the streaming content, of which displaying is stopped, so as to receive... and to store the streaming content, and, responsive to the receiving by the operation unit of the operation of turning on the power source, to read out the streaming content from the storage and to start the displaying.

Claim 50 recites, *inter alia*, responsive to the receiving by the operation unit of the operation of switching from the displaying of the streaming content to the displaying of the television broadcast program, to stop the displaying of the streaming content, and to access the URL linked to the streaming content, of which displaying is stopped, so as to receive... and to store the streaming content, and, responsive to the receiving by the operation unit of the operation of switching from the displaying of the television broadcast program to the displaying

of the streaming content, to read out the streaming content from the storage and to start the displaying.

However, Applicants respectfully submit that none of Cuccia, Satake, et al., Marsh, and the relied-upon portions of Applicants' own specification, even in the proposed combinations, assuming, *arguendo*, that such could be combined, discloses or suggests at least the above-discussed claimed features as recited, *inter alia*, in Claims 48 and 50.

Applicants respectfully submit that Cuccia discloses, e.g., performing scanning when the receiver is not in use, e.g., during stand-by mode (in particular, Cuccia discloses, e.g., updating the EPG information during the stand-by mode, and displaying the updated EPG information (e.g., col. 3, line 42 through col. 4, line 54)). Applicants respectfully submit that Satake, et al. discloses, e.g., that at the time of turning off the power source, communicative information which may include a URL is displayed (e.g., [0035]). Applicants respectfully submit that Marsh discloses, e.g., recording candidate programs. However, Applicants respectfully submit that neither the foregoing nor the remainder of these documents and the relied-upon portions of Applicants' own specification provides either a description or a suggestion of at least the above-discussed claimed features as recited, *inter alia*, in Claims 48 and 50.

Applicants further respectfully submit that there has been no showing of any indication of motivation in the cited documents that would lead one having ordinary skill in the art to arrive at such features.

The dependent claims are also submitted to be patentable because they set forth additional aspects of the present invention and are dependent from independent claims discussed above. Therefore, separate and individual consideration of each dependent claim is respectfully requested.

REQUEST FOR ENTRY OF AMENDMENT

This Amendment After Final Rejection is an earnest attempt to advance prosecution and reduce the number of issues, and is believed to clearly place this application in condition for allowance. Furthermore, Applicants respectfully submit that a full appreciation of these amendments will not require undue time or effort given the Examiner's familiarity with this application. Moreover, this Amendment was not earlier presented because Applicants earnestly believed that the prior Amendment placed the subject application in condition for allowance. Accordingly, entry of this Amendment under 37 C.F.R. § 1.116 is respectfully requested.

CONCLUSION

Applicants submit that this application is in condition for allowance, and a Notice of Allowance is respectfully requested.

Applicants' undersigned attorney may be reached in our Washington, D.C. office by telephone at (202) 530-1010. All correspondence should continue to be directed to our address listed below.

Respectfully submitted,

/Daniel S. Glueck/
Daniel S. Glueck
Attorney for Applicants
Registration No. 37,838

FITZPATRICK, CELLA, HARPER & SCINTO
30 Rockefeller Plaza
New York, New York 10112-3800
Facsimile: (212) 218-2200
DSG:jab